arXiv:1510.08148v1 [math.GN] 28 Oct 2015

On Nilcompactifications of Prime Spectra of Commutative Rings

Lorenzo Acosta G.[1] and I. Marcela Rubio P.[2]

Abstract: Given a ring R and S one of its ideals, we obtain a compactification of the prime spectrum of S through a mainly algebraic process. We name it the $R-$nilcompactification of Spec$S$. We study some categorical properties of this construction.

Keywords: Prime ideal, prime spectrum, spectral compactness, compactification.

MSC: 54D35, 54B30, 54B35.

1 Introduction.

In this paper the word *ring* means *commutative ring, not necessarily with identity*. A homomorphism is a function between rings that respects addition and product. The prime spectrum of a ring S is denoted by Spec$S$ and it is the set of prime ideals of S endowed with the Zariski topology. In this topology the sets

$$D_S(a) = \{P \in \text{Spec}S : a \notin P\},$$

where $a \in S$, provide a basis. The closed sets are

$$V_S(I) = \{P \in \text{Spec}S : P \supseteq I\},$$

where I is an ideal of S. It is known that the basic open sets are compact and for each ideal I of S the function $V_S(I) \to \text{Spec}\,(S/I) : P \mapsto P/I$ is a homeomorphism (see [4]). The Zariski topology is also called the hull-kernel topology because the closure of a subset $\mathcal{B}$ of Spec$S$ is the set

$$\left\{P \in \text{Spec}S : P \supseteq \bigcap_{J\in\mathcal{B}} J\right\}$$

and $\bigcap\limits_{J\in\mathcal{B}} J$ is called the kernel of $\mathcal{B}$.

[1]Mathematics Department, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, AK 30 45-03, Bogotá, Colombia. e-mail: lmacostag@unal.edu.co

[2]Corresponding author. Mathematics Department, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, AK 30 45-03, Bogotá, Colombia. e-mail: imrubiop@unal.edu.co

The nilradical of S, denoted $N(S)$, is precisely the kernel of Spec$S$.

It is known that S is semiprime or reduced if $N(S) = \{0\}$.

A ring whose spectrum is compact is a *spectrally compact ring.* In particular, every unitary ring R is spectrally compact because $D_R(1) = \text{Spec}R$.

We use the following notations:

$\mathcal{CR}$: Category of commutative rings and homomorphisms of rings.

$\mathcal{CR}_1$: Category of unitary commutative rings and homomorphisms of unitary rings.

$\mathcal{CR}^s$: Category of commutative rings and surjective homomorphisms.

Top : Category of topological spaces and continuous functions.

$\mathbb{S}$: Category of spectral spaces and strongly continuous functions. A spectral space is a topological space that is homeomorphic to the prime spectrum of a unitary commutative ring. It is known that a topological space is spectral if and only if it is sober, compact and coherent (see [5]). A function is strongly continuous if it sends compact open sets in compact open sets by reciprocal image.

In this paper we study, from a categorical point of view, the method of compactification of prime spectra presented in [3]. This method is functorial, has interesting properties and the involved constructions provide us different natural transformations.

2 The mechanism of nilcompactification

The material of this section is taken from [3].

In this section S is a fixed ring and R is an i-extension of S, that is, a ring containing S as ideal.

Given an ideal I of S it is clear that the set $\psi(I) = \{x \in R : xS \subseteq I\}$ is an ideal of R. So, ψ is a function from the set $\mathcal{J}(S)$ of the ideals of S, to the set $\mathcal{J}(R)$ of the ideals of R.

Lemma 1. *The function $\psi : \mathcal{J}(S) \to \mathcal{J}(R)$ has the following properties:*

(i) If P is a prime ideal of S then $\psi(P)$ is a prime ideal of R not containing S.

(ii) If P and Q are prime ideals of S such that $\psi(P) = \psi(Q)$ then $P = Q$.

(iii) If Q is a prime ideal of R not containing S then $Q \cap S$ is a prime ideal of S and $\psi(Q \cap S) = Q$.

(iv) $\psi\left(\bigcap_{P \in \text{Spec}S} P\right) = \bigcap_{P \in \text{Spec}S} \psi(P)$.

Proposition 2. *The function* $\psi : \mathrm{Spec}S \to \mathrm{Spec}R$ *is injective, continuous and open onto its image.*

Proof. By (iii) of the previous lemma we have that ψ is injective.

For continuity, it is enough to observe that if $r \in R$ then $\psi^{-1}(D_R(r)) = \bigcup_{s \in S} D_S(rs)$.

On the other hand, if $s \in S$ then it is easy to see that $\psi(D_S(s)) = \psi(\mathrm{Spec}S) \cap D_R(s)$, then ψ is open onto its image. □

Hereinafter we denote with $\mathrm{Spec}_S R$ the image of the function ψ restricted to $\mathrm{Spec}S$. In other words, $\mathrm{Spec}_S R$ is the set $\{Q \in \mathrm{Spec}R : Q \not\supseteq S\}$. Thus, $\mathrm{Spec}S$ is homeomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec}_S R$, seen as subspace of $\mathrm{Spec}R$.

Proposition 3. $\mathrm{Spec}_S R$ *is an open of* $\mathrm{Spec}R$ *and its closure is a subspace of* $\mathrm{Spec}R$ *homeomorphic to* $\mathrm{Spec}(R/\psi(N(S)))$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the equality $\mathrm{Spec}_S R = \bigcup_{s \in S} D_R(s)$. On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{Spec}_S R} &= \left\{ Q \in \mathrm{Spec}R : Q \supseteq \bigcap_{P \in \mathrm{Spec}S} \psi(P) \right\} \\
&= \left\{ Q \in \mathrm{Spec}R : Q \supseteq \psi\left(\bigcap_{P \in \mathrm{Spec}S} P \right) \right\} \\
&= \{ Q \in \mathrm{Spec}R : Q \supseteq \psi(N(S)) \} \\
&= V_R(\psi(N(S))) \\
&\approx \mathrm{Spec}(R/\psi(N(S)))\,.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4. *Notice that the inclusion of* $\mathrm{Spec}S$ *in* $\mathrm{Spec}(R/\psi(N(S)))$ *is given by the function*

$$\lambda : \mathrm{Spec}S \to \mathrm{Spec}(R/\psi(N(S))) : P \mapsto \psi(P)/\psi(N(S))\,.$$

Corollary 5. *If* R *is spectrally compact (in particular if* R *has identity) then* $\mathrm{Spec}(R/\psi(N(S)))$ *is an A class*[3] *compactification of* $\mathrm{Spec}S$.

[3] See [2].

Definition 6. *If R is spectrally compact, the space* $\mathrm{Spec}\left(R/\psi\left(N\left(S\right)\right)\right)$ *is called the R-nilcompactification of* Spec$S$.

It is well known that every ring is an ideal of a unitary ring (see [6]), therefore we obtain the following result:

Theorem 7. *The spectrum of every ring has a spectral compactification.*

Proof. It is enough to observe that if S is a ring, we can choose R unitary and hence $\mathrm{Spec}\left(R/\psi\left(N\left(S\right)\right)\right)$ is a spectral space. □

3 Functorial behavior of the mechanism of nilcompactification

Consider the category $\mathcal{E}$ whose objects are the pairs (S,R) with R a unitary i-extension of S and where the morphisms from (S_1,R_1) to (S_2,R_2) are the homomorphisms of unitary rings from R_1 to R_2 such that $h(S_1)=S_2$.

As S and R are variables, in this context, the functions ψ and λ defined in the previous section will be denoted $\psi_{(S,R)}$ and $\lambda_{(S,R)}$ respectively.

For each object (S,R) of $\mathcal{E}$, we define $Q(S,R)=R/\psi_{(S,R)}\left(N(S)\right)$.

The following proposition can be proved without difficulty.

Proposition 8. *If $h:(S,R)\to(T,M)$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{E}$, then*

$$Q(h):Q(S,R)\to Q(T,M):r+\psi_{(S,R)}\left(N(S)\right)\mapsto h(r)+\psi_{(T,M)}\left(N(T)\right)$$

is well defined and is a homomorphism of unitary rings.

Thus, Q is a functor from the category $\mathcal{E}$ to the category $\mathcal{CR}_1$ of unitary commutative rings.

We denote NC the contravariant functor $\mathrm{Spec}\circ Q:\mathcal{E}\to\mathbb{S}$ where $\mathbb{S}$ is the category of the spectral spaces and the strongly continuous functions. So, $\mathrm{NC}(S,R)$ is the R-nilcompactification of Spec$S$.

Some natural transformations:

Consider the functors $V:\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{CR}_1$ and $W:\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{CR}^s$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(h &: (S,R)\to(T,M))=h:R\to M \text{ and}\\ W(h &: (S,R)\to(T,M))=h\mid_S: S\to T. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is a direct consequence of the Proposition 8:

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\mathcal{E} & \mathcal{CR}_1 \\
\hline
(S,R) & V(S,R)=R \xrightarrow{\theta_{(S,R)}} Q(S,R)=R/\psi_{(S,R)}(N(S)) \\
h\downarrow & V(h)\downarrow \qquad\qquad\qquad Q(h)\downarrow \\
(T,M) & V(T,M)=M \xrightarrow[\theta_{(T,M)}]{} Q(T,M)=M/\psi_{(T,M)}(N(T))
\end{array}$$

Proposition 9. *If for each object (S,R) of $\mathcal{E}$, we denote by $\theta_{(S,R)} : R \to Q(S,R)$ the canonical function to the quotient, then $\theta = \left(\theta_{(S,R)}\right)_{(S,R)\in Ob\mathcal{E}}$ is a natural transformation from the functor V to the functor Q.*

Proposition 10. *$\psi = \left(\psi_{(S,R)}\right)_{(S,R)\in Ob\mathcal{E}}$ is a natural transformation from the functor* $\mathrm{Spec}\circ W$ *to the functor* $\mathrm{Spec}\circ V$.

Proof. It is enough to observe that if $h : (S,R) \to (T,M)$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{E}$ then for each prime ideal P of T we have that $h^{-1}\left(\psi_{(T,M)}(P)\right) = \psi_{(S,R)}\left(h^{-1}(P)\right)$. □

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\mathcal{E} & \mathrm{Top} \\
\hline
(S,R) & \mathrm{Spec}(S) \xrightarrow{\psi_{(S,R)}} \mathrm{Spec}(R) \\
h\downarrow & \mathrm{Spec}\circ W(h)\uparrow \qquad\qquad \mathrm{Spec}\circ V(h)\uparrow \\
(T,M) & \mathrm{Spec}(T) \xrightarrow[\psi_{(T,M)}]{} \mathrm{Spec}(M)
\end{array}$$

Proposition 11. *$\lambda = \left(\lambda_{(S,R)}\right)_{(S,R)\in Ob\mathcal{E}}$ is a natural transformation from the functor* $\mathrm{Spec}\circ W$ *to the functor* NC.

Proof. Let $h : (S,R) \to (T,M)$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{E}$, $P \in \mathrm{Spec}T$ and $r \in R$.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
r+\psi_{(S,R)}(N(S)) & \in Q(h)^{-1}\left(\psi_{(T,M)}(P)/\psi_{(T,M)}(N(S))\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow h(r) \in \psi_{(T,M)}(P) \\
& \Leftrightarrow h(r)T \subseteq P \\
& \Leftrightarrow h(rS) \subseteq P \\
& \Leftrightarrow rS \subseteq h^{-1}(P) \\
& \Leftrightarrow r \in \psi_{(S,R)}\left(h^{-1}(P)\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow r+\psi_{(S,R)}(N(S)) \in \psi_{(S,R)}\left(h^{-1}(P)\right)/\psi_{(S,R)}(N(S))
\end{array}$$



Thus, $NC(h)\left(\lambda_{(T,M)}(P)\right) = \lambda_{(S,R)}\left((\mathrm{Spec}\circ W)(h)(P)\right)$. □

Proposition 12. *Let $h : (S,R) \to (T,M)$ be a morphism of the category $\mathcal{E}$. The function h can be restricted to a function from $\psi_{(S,R)}(N(S))$ to $\psi_{(T,M)}(N(T))$.*

Proof. Consider $r \in \psi_{(S,R)}(N(S))$, that is, $rs \in N(S)$ for all $s \in S$. Given $t \in T$, there exists $s \in S$ such that $h(s) = t$. Thus, $h(r)\,t = h(r)\,h(s) = h(rs) \in h(N(S)) \subseteq N(T)$ so that $h(r) \in \psi_{(T,M)}(N(T))$. □

Let $\chi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{CR}$ be the functor defined by:

$$\chi(h : (S,R) \to (T,M)) = h\,|_{\psi_{(S,R)}(N(S))} : \psi_{(S,R)}(N(S)) \to \psi_{(T,M)}(N(T))$$

and for the object (S,R) of $\mathcal{E}$, denote $j_{(S,R)}$ the natural inclusion of $\psi_{(S,R)}(N(S))$ into the ring R.

Notice that for each $h : (S,R) \to (T,M)$, morphism of the category $\mathcal{E}$, the square in the following figure is commutative; this allows us to state the following result.



Proposition 13. *$j = \left(j_{(S,R)}\right)_{(S,R)\in Ob\mathcal{E}}$ is a natural transformation from the functor χ to the functor V.*

4 First variation

Fix a ring S. Let $\mathcal{E}(S)$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{CR}_1$ whose objects are the i-extensions of S and whose morphisms are those that can be restricted to the identity of S. It is clear that $\mathcal{E}(S)$ can be identified with a subcategory of $\mathcal{E}$ and therefore the functor Q can be restricted to a functor $Q_S : \mathcal{E}(S) \to \mathcal{CR}_1$ and the functor NC can be restricted to a functor $\mathrm{NC}_S : \mathcal{E}(S) \to \mathbb{S}$.

Proposition 14. *If $h : R \to T$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{E}(S)$ then $Q_S(h) : Q(S,R) \to Q(S,T)$ is injective.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} r + \psi_{(S,R)}(N(S)) \quad &\in \quad \ker Q_S(h) \Leftrightarrow h(r) \in \psi_{(S,T)}(N(S)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \quad h(r)s \in N(S) \text{ for all } s \in S \\ &\Leftrightarrow \quad h(rs) \in N(S) \text{ for all } s \in S \\ &\Leftrightarrow \quad rs \in N(S) \text{ for all } s \in S \\ &\Leftrightarrow \quad r \in \psi_{(S,R)}(N(S)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \quad r + \psi_{(S,R)}(N(S)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 15. *If $h : R \to T$ is a surjective morphism of $\mathcal{E}(S)$ then $Q_S(h) : Q(S,R) \to Q(S,T)$ is an isomorphism and therefore $\mathrm{NC}_S(h) : \mathrm{NC}_S(T) \to \mathrm{NC}_S(R)$ is a homeomorphism.*

Corollary 16. *If $h : R \to T$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{E}(S)$ then $\mathrm{NC}_S(h)(\mathrm{NC}_S(T))$ is dense in $\mathrm{NC}_S(R)$.*

Proof. It is consequence of the injectivity of $Q_S(h) : Q_S(R) \to Q_S(T)$ (see [4]). □

Proposition 17. *If $h : R \to T$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{E}(S)$, then $\mathrm{NC}_S(h) \circ \lambda_{(S,T)} = \lambda_{(S,R)}$.*



Proof. It is a direct consequence of the Proposition 11. □

Corollary 18. *If $h : R \to T$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{E}(S)$ then* Spec$S$ *is a subspace of* $\mathrm{NC}_S(h)\left(\mathrm{NC}_S\left(T\right)\right)$.

5 Second variation

Given a ring S, we denote $U_0\left(S\right)$ the set $S \times \mathbb{Z}$ endowed with the operations:

$$\begin{aligned}(s,\alpha)+(t,\beta) &= (s+t,\alpha+\beta)\\ (s,\alpha)(t,\beta) &= (st+\beta s+\alpha t,\alpha\beta).\end{aligned}$$

It is well known that $U_0\left(S\right)$ is a unitary ring and that, if we identify S with $S_0 = S \times \{0\}$, S is an ideal of $U_0(S)$. Besides we have the following universal property:

Theorem 19. *Let S be a ring and consider $\iota_S : S \to U_0(S) : s \mapsto (s,0)$. If R is a unitary ring and $g : S \to R$ is a homomorphism, then there exists a unique homomorphism of unitary rings $\widetilde{g} : U_0(S) \to R$ such that $\widetilde{g} \circ \iota_S = g$.*



This property allows us to extend U_0 to a functor from the category $\mathcal{CR}$ of commutative rings to the category $\mathcal{CR}_1$ of unitary rings, defining $U_0(h) = \widetilde{\iota_T \circ h}$, for a homomorphism $h : S \to T$. We have also that $\iota = (\iota_S)_{S \in Ob\mathcal{CR}}$ is a natural transformation from the identity functor of the category $\mathcal{CR}$ to the functor U_0 considered as endo-functor of $\mathcal{CR}$.

Notice that if $h : S \to T$ is a surjective homomorphism then $U_0(h)$ is a morphism in the category $\mathcal{E}$ from the object $(S, U_0(S))$ to the object $(T, U_0(T))$. Thus, U_0 can be seen as a functor from the category $\mathcal{CR}^s$ of commutative rings and surjective homomorphisms to the category $\mathcal{E}$.

The universal property of U_0 allows us to conclude immediately the following theorem:

Theorem 20. *For each ring S, $U_0(S)$ is an initial object of the category $\mathcal{E}(S)$.*



Proof. Let R be an object of $\mathcal{E}(S)$ and let $u : S \to R$ be the inclusion homomorphism. The universal property guarantees that there is a unique homomorphism of unitary rings $u_R : U_0(S) \to R$ such that $u_R \circ \iota_S = u$, that is, u_R restricted to S is the identity. □

As the functor NC_S is injective in objects, it is clear that the image of NC_S is a subcategory of $\mathbb{S}$, that we denote $\mathcal{NC}(S)$ (category of nilcompactifications of S). We denote NC_0 the functor $\mathrm{NC} \circ U_0 : \mathcal{CR}^s \to \mathbb{S}$. Thus, we have the following result:

Corollary 21. *For each ring S, $\mathrm{NC}_0(S)$ is a final object of the category $\mathcal{NC}(S)$.*

Remark 22. *As a consequence of Corollary 15 we have that $\mathrm{NC}_0(S)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathrm{NC}_0(S/N(S))$. Therefore we can reduce the study of nilcompactifications to semi-prime or reduced rings.*

6 Third variation

In this section we are working again with a fixed ring S. Let R be an object of $\mathcal{E}(S)$ and consider the unique morphism $u_R : U_0(S) \to R$ of $\mathcal{E}(S)$. Then $\mathrm{NC}_S(u_R) : \mathrm{NC}_S(R) \to \mathrm{NC}_0(S)$ is a (strongly) continuous function. We denote $\eta(R)$ the image of the function $\mathrm{NC}_S(u_R)$.

Theorem 23. *If R is an object of $\mathcal{E}(S)$ then $\eta(R)$ is an A class compactification of* Spec$S$ *and besides it is dense in* $\mathrm{NC}_0(S)$.

Proof. It is enough to observe that Spec$S \subseteq \eta(R) \subseteq \mathrm{NC}_0(S)$ and that Spec$S$ is an open dense subspace of $\mathrm{NC}_0(S)$. □

We consider the pre-order between compactifications given in [8]: let (X', τ') and (X'', τ'') be compactifications of (X, τ), with immersions $f' : (X, \tau) \to (X', \tau')$ and $f'' : (X, \tau) \to (X'', \tau'')$. It is said that $(X', \tau') \preceq$

(X'', τ'') if there exists $h : (X'', \tau'') \longrightarrow (X', \tau')$ continuous and surjective, such that $f' = h \circ f''$. We obtain directly the following result:

Proposition 24. *If R is an object of $\mathcal{E}(S)$ then $\eta(R)$ is a compactification of* Spec$S$ *smaller than* $\mathrm{NC}_S(R)$.

Corollary 25. *If* $\mathrm{NC}_0(S)$ *is a Hausdorff space then, it is the smallest nil-compactification of* Spec$S$.

Proof. If R is an object of $\mathcal{E}(S)$ then $\eta(R)$ is a compact subset of $\mathrm{NC}_0(S)$, then it is closed. Besides, $\eta(R)$ is dense in $\mathrm{NC}_0(S)$, therefore $\mathrm{NC}_S(u_R)$ is surjective. □

The proof of the following proposition is a simple routinary exercise:

Proposition 26. *If $h : R \to T$ is a morphism of the category $\mathcal{E}(S)$ then $\eta(T) \subseteq \eta(R)$.*

If for each morphism $h : R \to T$ of the category $\mathcal{E}(S)$ we define $\eta(h) : \eta(T) \to \eta(R) : x \mapsto x$ then, η is a functor from the category $\mathcal{E}(S)$ to the category Top of topological spaces and continuous functions.

We have then the following natural question: if R is an object of $\mathcal{E}(S)$, is $\eta(R)$ a spectral space?

7 Two examples

In this section we present two examples which illustrate some results of this paper.

Example 27. *Let B be a Boolean ring without identity. As B is semiprime, $N(B) = 0$. We are going to find $\psi_{(B,U_0(B))}(0)$:*
$(a, \alpha) \in \psi_{(B,U_0(B))}(0)$ if and only if $ax + \alpha x = 0$ for each $x \in B$, that is, $ax = \alpha x$ for each $x \in B$.
If α is even then $ax = 0$ for all $x \in B$, from which it follows that $a = 0$.
If α is odd then $ax = x$ for all $x \in B$ and therefore, a is the identity of B, which is absurd.
Hence, $\psi_{(B,U_0(B))}(0) = \{0\} \times 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $Q(B, U_0(B)) = U_0(B) / (\{0\} \times 2\mathbb{Z})$.
In this case, $NC(B, U_0(B))$ is precisely the Alexandroff compactification of Spec$B$ *(see [1]).*

The following example shows that different i-extensions of a ring can produce different nilcompactifications:

Example 28. *Consider the ring without identity* $S = x\mathbb{R}[x]$. *Two different unitary i-extensions of* S *are* $U_0(S)$ *and* $\mathbb{R}[x]$. *Notice that the unique homomorphism from* $U_0(S)$ *to* $\mathbb{R}[x]$ *is not surjective and there not exists a homomorphism from* $\mathbb{R}[x]$ *to* $U_0(S)$. *It is easy to see that* $\psi_{(S,U_0(S))}(0) = 0$ *and* $\psi_{(S,\mathbb{R}[x])}(0) = 0$. *Therefore,* $Q(S, U_0(S)) = U_0(S)$ *and* $Q(S, \mathbb{R}[x]) = \mathbb{R}[x]$, *thus* $NC(S, U_0(S)) = \mathrm{Spec}(U_0(S))$ *and* $NC(S, \mathbb{R}[x]) = \mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{R}[x]$. *If we consider the surjective homomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &: U_0(S) \to \mathbb{Z} : (s, z) \mapsto z, \\ \beta &: \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{R} : p(x) \mapsto p(0), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude, by the Correspondence Theorem, that $NC(S, U_0(S))$ *is a compactification of* Spec$S$ *by enumerable points, while* $NC(S, \mathbb{R}[x])$ *is a compactification of* Spec$S$ *by one point.*

The following diagram summarizes the ideas presented in this paper.



References

[1] L. Acosta y J. Galeano, *Adjunción de unidad versus compactación por un punto: El caso booleano,* Boletín de Matemáticas. Nueva serie, vol. XIV, No. 2 (2007), pp. 84-92.

[2] L. Acosta and M. Rubio, *On Star Compactifications*, Applied General Topology. Vol. 14, no. 1 (2013), pp. 17-32.

[3] L. Acosta and M. Rubio, *Spectral Compactification of a Ring*, International Mathematical Forum. Vol. 7, no. 19 (2012), pp. 925 - 934.

[4] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. MacDonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1969.

[5] M. Hochster, *Prime ideal structure in commutative rings.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (1969), pp. 43-60.

[6] N. Jacobson, *Basic algebra I.* W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1974.

[7] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician.* Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1971.

[8] J. Margalef et al., *Topología.* Vol. 3, Alhambra, Madrid, 1980.